

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,988	HAMPRECHT ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN MABRY	1625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JOHN MABRY. (3) _____.
 (2) Aydin Harston. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2010

Time: 2:53 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection of record

Claims discussed:

16, 17, 20 and 22-27

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss rejoinder of withdrawn claims as relating to the elected invention. Aydin Harston, PhD (agent) wanted rejoinder. Examiner notified Applicant that claim 21 was drawn to compounds not of the elected group. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Dr. Harston on January 28, 2010. The application has been amended as follows:

DELETE claim 21.